Government of the District of Columbia Office of the Chief Financial Officer



Natwar M. Gandhi Chief Financial Officer

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Vincent C. Gray

Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia

FROM: Natwar M. Gandhi

Chief Financial Officer

DATE: November 18, 2010

SUBJECT: Fiscal Impact Statement - "Lead Hazard Prevention and Elimination

Amendment Act of 2010"

REFERENCE: Bill Number 18-64, Draft Shared with the OCFO on November 17, 2010

Conclusion

Funds are sufficient in the FY 2011 through FY 2014 budget and financial plan to implement the proposed legislation.

Background

The proposed legislation would amend and make technical changes to several provisions in the Lead Hazard Prevention and Elimination Act of 2008 ("Act").¹ This includes modifying the definition of "lead-based paint" by reducing the amount of lead from 1.0 mg/cm² to .7 mg/cm²; requiring an owner of a residential property constructed before 1978 to provide a lead hazard report prior to finalizing any contract to purchase or lease the property; expanding protections for pregnant women and children under the age of six; and increasing the permitted uses of the Lead Poisoning Prevention Fund. The proposed legislation also allows the use of first class mail for the delivery of notices and orders required by the Act. Current law requires the use of certified mail.

Financial Plan Impact

Funds are sufficient in the FY 2011 through FY 2014 budget and financial plan to implement the proposed legislation. According to the District Department of the Environment ("DDOE"), to implement the proposed legislation, its Lead and Healthy Housing Division would be required to test for lead on concrete or other rough exterior surfaces and revise the Lead Warning Statement

¹ Effective March 31, 2009. (D.C. Law 17-381; D.C. Official Code § 8-231 et seq.)

The Honorable Vincent C. Gray FIS: B18-64 "Lead Hazard Prevention and Elimination Amendment Act of 2010"

and lead hazard information pamphlet. Costs associated with these new requirements would be offset by the potential savings on postage (since DDOE would no longer be required to use certified mail), and by consolidating some of the agency's reporting requirements. As a result, the impact of the proposed legislation can be absorbed with existing resources.